On the Computability of Euclidean Logics

Yavor Nenov

School of Computer Science University of Manchester, UK

(Joint work with Ian Pratt-Hartmann)



19th EACSL Annual Conferences on Computer Science Logic Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic, 2010 Table of Contents

Euclidean Logics

Euclidean Logics Over $\mathbb R$

Euclidean Logics Over \mathbb{R}^n (n > 1)

Euclidean Logic: A logical language whose variables are interpreted as **subsets** of \mathbb{R}^n , for a fixed n > 0, and whose non-logical primitives are interpreted as **geometrical** properties, relations and operations involving those sets.

Euclidean Logics - Regions

What collection of subset of \mathbb{R}^n shall we choose?

subsets which are likely to be occupied by physical objects



Euclidean Logics - Regions

 $RC(\mathbb{R}^n)$ still include many pathological sets:



We consider different Boolean sub-algebras of $RC(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

 $\begin{array}{ll} RC(\mathbb{R}^n) & \text{the set of all regular closed sets} \\ RCS(\mathbb{R}^n) & \text{semi-algebraic sets} \\ RCP(\mathbb{R}^n) & \text{selmi-linear sets (polytopes)} \\ RCP_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{R}^n) & \text{algebraic polytopes} \\ RCP_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{R}^n) & \text{rational polytopes} \end{array}$

Let $\Sigma = \{ RC(\mathbb{R}^n), RCS(\mathbb{R}^n), RCP(\mathbb{R}^n), RCP_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{R}^n), RCP_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \}.$

Euclidean Logics - The Languages \mathcal{L}_{C} , \mathcal{L}_{conv} and \mathcal{L}_{closer}

Logical Syntax First-order logic

Non-logical Primitives

Boolean: (\leq , +, -, \cdot , 0, 1)

Topological: connectedness and contact



Euclidean: convexity and relative closeness

conv(x)	$\neg conv(y)$	closer(x, y, z)	
		y x	Z

 $\mathcal{L}_{C} := \langle C \rangle \qquad \mathcal{L}_{conv} := \langle conv, \leq \rangle \qquad \mathcal{L}_{closer} = \langle closer \rangle$ Lemma For $\mathcal{M} \in \Sigma$, $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{L}_{C}) \leq_{m}^{p} (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{L}_{conv}) \leq_{m}^{p} (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{L}_{closer}).$

Theories

		Languages			
		Lс	\mathcal{L}_{conv}	\mathcal{L}_{closer}	
	$RC(\mathbb{R})$	Decidable, NONELEMENTARY		Δ^{1}_{ω} -complete	
D	$RCS(\mathbb{R})$			Δ^{1}_{ω} -complete	
0	$RCP(\mathbb{R})$	Decidable, NONELEMENTARY		Δ^{1}_{ω} -complete	
m	$RCP_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{R})$			Δ^0_ω -complete	
а	$RCP_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{R})$			Δ^0_ω -complete	
i	$RC(\mathbb{R}^n), n > 1$	Δ^1_ω -complete	Δ^1_ω -complete	Δ^1_ω -complete	
n	$RCS(\mathbb{R}^n), n > 1$	Δ^0_ω -hard	Δ^{1}_{ω} -complete	Δ^{1}_{ω} -complete	
s	$RCP(\mathbb{R}^n), n > 1$	Δ^0_ω -hard	Δ^{1}_{ω} -complete	Δ^{1}_{ω} -complete	
	$RCP_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{R}^n), \ n>1$	$\Delta^{m 0}_{\omega}$ -complete	Δ^0_{ω} -complete	Δ^0_ω -complete	
	$RCP_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{R}^n), n > 1$	Δ^0_ω -complete	Δ^0_ω -complete	Δ^0_ω -complete	

Table: A complexity map of the first-order Euclidean spatial logics.

Lemma For $\mathcal{M} \in \Sigma$ $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{L}_C) \equiv_m^p (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{L}_{conv})$.

Lemma $(RCP_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{L}_{C}) \prec (RCP_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{L}_{C}) \prec (RCP(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{L}_{C})$ Proof. Using Tarski-Vaught Test.

 $\text{Lemma}(RCP(\mathbb{R}),\mathcal{L}_{C}) = (RCS(\mathbb{R}),\mathcal{L}_{C}) \leq_{m}^{p} (RC(\mathbb{R}),\mathcal{L}_{C})$

Result: The first-order theory of $(RC(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{L}_C)$ is decidable.

Theorem [Rabin69] The monadic second-order theory (MSO) of $(\mathbb{Q}, <)$ is decidable.

Lemma There exists an interpretation of $(RC(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{L}_C)$ in the MSO of $(\mathbb{Q}, <)$.

Proof. We identify every regular closed subset A of \mathbb{R} with $A \cap \mathbb{Q}$.

 \mathcal{L}_{C} and \mathcal{L}_{conv} Over \mathbb{R} — Lower Bound

Result: The first-order theory of $(RCP(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{L}_C)$ is non-elementary.

Theorem [Meyer75] The weak monadic second-order theory of (\mathbb{N}, S) (WS1S) is non-elementary.

Lemma WS1S in many-one reducible to $(RCP(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{L}_C)$.



$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{C}}$ over $\mathbb{R}^n (n > 1)$

Result: For $\mathcal{M} \in \Sigma$,

- $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{L}_{C})$ can encode first-order arithmetic $(\Delta_{\omega}^{0}$ -hard).
- $(RC(\mathbb{R}^n), \mathcal{L}_C)$ can encode second-order arithmetic $(\Delta^1_{\omega}$ -hard).

ldea.

 \bullet Identify a natural number n with the class of regions having exactly n connected components. (Grzegorczyk 1951)

• Identify a set of natural numbers $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with a pair of regions r, s as shown for the set $A = \{0, 2, 3\}$:



Theorem [Davis'06] Let \mathcal{L} be either \mathcal{L}_{conv} or \mathcal{L}_{closer} and n > 1. $(RC(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{L}_{closer}), (RCS(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{L}_{closer}), (RCP(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{L}_{closer})$ Δ^1_{ω} -hard. $(RCP_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{L}_{closer}), (RCP_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{L}_{closer})$ Δ^0_{ω} -hard.

 $(RC(\mathbb{R}^n),\mathcal{L}),(RCS(\mathbb{R}^n),\mathcal{L}),(RCP(\mathbb{R}^n),\mathcal{L})$ Δ^1_{ω} -hard.

 $(RCP_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{R}^{n}), \mathcal{L}), (RCP_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{R}^{n}), \mathcal{L})$ Δ^{0}_{ω} -hard.

Summary

		Lс	\mathcal{L}_{conv}	\mathcal{L}_{closer}
	$RC(\mathbb{R})$	Decidable, NONELEMENTARY		Δ^{1}_{ω} -complete
D	$RCS(\mathbb{R})$			Δ^{1}_{ω} -complete
0	$RCP(\mathbb{R})$	Decidable, NONELEMENTARY		Δ^{1}_{ω} -complete
m	$RCP_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{R})$			Δ^0_ω -complete
а	$RCP_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{R})$			Δ^0_ω -complete
i	$RC(\mathbb{R}^n), n > 1$	Δ^1_ω -complete	Δ^1_ω -complete	Δ^1_ω -complete
n	$RCS(\mathbb{R}^n), n > 1$	Δ^0_ω -hard	Δ^{1}_{ω} -complete	Δ^{1}_{ω} -complete
S	$RCP(\mathbb{R}^n), n > 1$	Δ^0_ω -hard	Δ^{1}_{ω} -complete	Δ^{1}_{ω} -complete
	$RCP_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathbb{R}^n), \ n>1$	$\Delta^{m 0}_{\omega}$ -complete	Δ^0_{ω} -complete	Δ^0_ω -complete
	$RCP_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{R}^n), n>1$	Δ^0_ω -complete	Δ^0_ω -complete	Δ^0_ω -complete

Table: A complexity map of the first-order Euclidean spatial logics.

THANK YOU!