

## Tableau algorithm: examples

For each of the following concepts, say if it is satisfiable or not and show how the tableaux algorithm would use a sequence of completion rules to prove the (un)satisfiability.

(1)  $A \sqcap \exists R.C \sqcap \forall R.D$

(2)  $\exists R.C \sqcap \forall R.\neg(C \sqcap D)$

(3)  $A \sqcap \exists R.C \sqcap \forall R.D \sqcap \forall R.\neg(C \sqcap D)$

(4)  $\exists R.(A \sqcap \exists R.C) \sqcap \forall R.\neg C$

(5)  $\exists R.(A \sqcap \exists R.C) \sqcap \forall R.\forall R.\neg C$

(6)  $\neg C \sqcap \exists R.C \sqcap \forall R.(\neg C \sqcup \exists R.C)$

(7)  $A \sqcap \forall R.A \sqcap \forall R.\neg \exists P.A \sqcap \exists R.\exists P.A$

## Tableau algorithm: example 6

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_0 &= \{ x: \neg C \sqcap \exists R.C \sqcap \forall R.(\neg C \sqcup \exists R.C) \} \\
 S_0 \rightarrow_{\sqcap} S_1 &= S_0 \cup \{ x: \neg C, x: \exists R.C, x: \forall R.(\neg C \sqcup \exists R.C) \} \\
 S_1 \rightarrow_{\exists} S_2 &= S_1 \cup \{ (x, y): R, y: C \} \\
 S_2 \rightarrow_{\forall} S_3 &= S_2 \cup \{ y: \neg C \sqcup \exists R.C \} \\
 + S_3 \rightarrow_{\sqcup} S_{4.1} &= S_3 \cup \{ y: \neg C \} \text{ — clash} \\
 + S_3 \rightarrow_{\sqcup} S_{4.2} &= S_3 \cup \{ y: \exists R.C \} \\
 S_{4.2} \rightarrow_{\exists} S_{5.2} &= S_{4.2} \cup \{ (y, z): R, z: C \} \text{ — complete and clash-free}
 \end{aligned}$$

The concept is satisfiable in the interpretation  $\mathcal{I}_6 = \langle \Delta^{\mathcal{I}_6}, \cdot^{\mathcal{I}_6} \rangle$ , where

$$\Delta^{\mathcal{I}_6} = \{x, y, z\}, \quad C^{\mathcal{I}_6} = \{y, z\} \quad \text{and} \quad R^{\mathcal{I}_6} = \{(x, y), (y, z)\}$$

## Tableau algorithm: example 7 ('mad cows')

First, transform into NNF. Then

$$\begin{aligned} S_0 &= \{ x: A \sqcap \forall R.A \sqcap \forall R.\forall P.\neg A \sqcap \exists R.\exists P.A \} \\ S_0 \rightarrow_{\sqcap} S_1 &= S_0 \cup \{ x: A, x: \forall R.A, x: \forall R.\forall P.\neg A, x: \exists R.\exists P.A \} \\ S_1 \rightarrow_{\exists} S_2 &= S_1 \cup \{ (x, y): R, y: \exists P.A \} \\ S_2 \rightarrow_{\forall} S_3 &= S_2 \cup \{ y: A \} \\ S_3 \rightarrow_{\forall} S_4 &= S_3 \cup \{ y: \forall P.\neg A \} \\ S_4 \rightarrow_{\exists} S_5 &= S_4 \cup \{ (y, z): P, z: A \} \\ S_5 \rightarrow_{\forall} S_6 &= S_5 \cup \{ z: \neg A \} \text{ — clash} \end{aligned}$$

The concept is not satisfiable since all branches of the tableau contain clashes

Mad cows example reading:  $A$  stands for Animal,  $R$  for eats,  $P$  for isPartOf

$\forall R.A \sqcap \forall R.\neg \exists P.A$  says 'cows are vegetarians' (and so should be mad cows) and

$\exists R.\exists P.A$  says 'mad cows eat sheep brain'